

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 54, 153-158 (1978)

The Primitivity of Free Products of Associative Algebras

A. I. LICHTMAN

*Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel**Communicated by I. N. Herstein*

Received July 6, 1977

INTRODUCTION

Let R_1 and R_2 be nontrivial associative algebras over the field F and let $R = R_1 * R_2$ be their free product. In this article we prove the following theorem. (Theorem 2):

*The algebra $R = R_1 * R_2$ is primitive provided that the dimensions of R_1 and R_2 are not both equal to 2.*

This result was motivated by Formanek's paper [2], where the primitivity of group algebras of free products of groups is proved.

Let $e_i, i \in I; f_j, j \in J$, be fixed bases of R_1 and R_2 , respectively. We assume them to be chosen in such a way that $e_0 = f_0 = 1$. Let $B_1 = \{e_i\}, i \in I - \{0\}; B_2 = \{f_j\}, j \in J - \{0\}$. It is well known that the unity and all the monomials in $B_1 \cup B_2$ such that their neighboring factors come from different B_μ ($\mu = 1, 2$) form a basis of the algebra $R = R_1 * R_2$.

We suppose in the sequel that $\dim R_1 \geq \dim R_2$ and $\dim R_1 > 2$; or, equivalently, that $\text{card } B_1 \geq \text{card } B_2$ and $\text{card } B_1 > 1$.

It is easy to check that the elements $e_i f_j, e_i \in B_1, f_j \in B_2$ freely generate a free associative algebra (with unity) T_{12} .

THEOREM 1. *Let $R = R_1 * R_2$. If A is a nonzero ideal of R , $A \cap T_{12} \neq 0$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on Theorem 1 and the method of Formanek, which was applied in [2] to construct in the group ring of free products of groups some proper left ideal M which is comaximal with any two sided ideal. The existence of such a left ideal implies the primitivity of the ring.

It is not difficult to check that this method of [2] together with Theorem 2.1 of [1] gives the following result.

Let R be the free product of two rings R_1 and R_2 over a common division subring K . Assume that the left dimensions of R_1 and R_2 over K are not both equal to 2. Then R is left primitive provided that one of the following conditions holds:

$$1) \quad \text{card } K \leq \aleph_0.$$

$$2) \quad \text{card } K \leq \dim_l R_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{card } K \leq \dim_l R_2.$$

This suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture. The free product of two rings R_1 and R_2 over a common division subring K is primitive if $\dim R_k$ ($k = 1, 2$) are not both equal to 2.

Finally, we remark that the following result follows easily from Theorem 2. (See Theorem 3).

*Let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be Lie algebras over F such that $\dim \mathcal{L}_k > 1$ ($k = 1, 2$) and let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2$ be their free sum. Then \mathcal{L} has a faithful irreducible representation.*

1

A basic monomial will be called a B_1 -monomial if its first element belongs to B_1 ; an arbitrary element $0 \neq r \in R$ is a B_1 -element if all the basic monomials in its support are B_1 -monomials.

A basic monomial has type B_1B_1 if its first and last elements belong to B_1 ; the monomials of types B_1B_2 , B_2B_1 , and B_2B_2 are similarly defined. The length of such monomials (which is defined in a natural way) is positive; the length of 1 is defined to be zero.

Finally, if $r \neq 0$ is an arbitrary element of R , then its length $l(r)$ is the length of a monomial of maximal length in its support.

The following obvious fact will be used a few times in the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let π and τ be two basic monomials. Then $l(\pi\tau) = l(\pi) + l(\tau)$ iff simultaneously π ends with element of $B_1(B_2)$ and τ begins with element of $B_2(B_1)$.*

LEMMA 2. *Let π be a monomial of length $l \leq n$. Then $(e_1f_1)^{n+1}\pi$ is a linear combination of basic monomials which begin with e_1 .*

Proof. We need only consider the case when π is a B_2 -monomial, i.e., $\pi = f\pi_1$, where $f \in B_2$ and π_1 is either unity or a B_1 -monomial. It follows easily that the element $(e_1f_1)\pi = e_1(f_1f\pi_1)$ is a linear combination of basic monomials which begin in e_1 and of B_2 -monomials which have length $\leq l - 1$. The assertion now follows by induction on n .

Proof of Theorem 1. We can suppose that there exists $0 \neq b \in A$ such that all the monomials of maximal length in its support are B_1B_2 -monomials.

Indeed, let $0 \neq a \in A$. If among the monomials of maximal length in the

support of a there exists some monomial π which does not have type B_1B_2 , we define

$$\begin{aligned} b &= e_1 a f_1, & \text{if } \pi \text{ has type } B_2B_1, \\ &= e a_1, & \text{if } \pi \text{ has type } B_2B_2, \\ &= a f_1, & \text{if } \pi \text{ has type } B_1B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $l(b) = m$. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that $0 \neq c = (e_1 f_1)^{m+1} b$ is a B_1 -element.¹

If now $c \notin T_{12}$ then the support of c contains B_1B_1 -monomials; let $\tau = e_{i_1} f_{j_1} e_{i_2} f_{j_2} \cdots e_{i_k} f_{j_k} e_{i_{k+1}}$ be some of them. Left-multiplying c by the element $e_1 f_1$ if necessary, we can suppose that $k \geq 1$. Let

$$e_{i_{k+1}} e_1 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i e_i. \quad (1)$$

$$f_{j_k} f_1 = \beta_0 + \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \beta_\gamma f_\gamma \quad (1')$$

We obtain from (1) the following congruence modulo the subspace T_{12}

$$\begin{aligned} (e_1 f_1) \tau (e_1 f_1) &= e_1 f_1 (e_{i_1} f_{i_1} e_{i_2} f_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}) (f_{i_k} e_{i_{k+1}} e_1 f_1) \\ &\equiv (\alpha_0 \beta_0 e_1 f_1) e_{i_1} f_{i_1} e_{i_2} f_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Repeating this argument k times gives

$$(e_1 f_1)^k \tau (e_1 f_1)^k \equiv \lambda (e_1 f_1)^k e_1 \pmod{T_{12}}, \quad \lambda \in F. \quad (3)$$

As above, we now obtain

$$(e_1 f_1) (e_1 f_1)^k e_1 (e_1 f_1) \equiv \mu (e_1 f_1)^k e_1 \pmod{T_{12}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mu \in F$ is defined from the decompositions of e_1^2 and f_1^2 and does not depend on k .

It follows from (3) and (4) that for any $l > k$

$$(e_1 f_1)^l \tau (e_1 f_1)^l \equiv \gamma (e_1 f_1)^k e_1 \pmod{T_{12}}, \quad \gamma \in F. \quad (4')$$

Moreover, since in (4) μ does not depend on k we now obtain from (4) and (4') that for any $l > k$

$$(e_1 f_1)^{l+1} \tau (e_1 f_1)^{l+1} - \mu (e_1 f_1)^l \tau (e_1 f_1)^l \in T_{12}. \quad (5)$$

Take now any $n > \frac{1}{2}l(c)$, then

$$d = (e_1 f_1)^{n+1} c (e_1 f_1)^{n+1} - \mu (e_1 f_1)^n c (e_1 f_1)^n \in T_{12}.$$

¹ By right-multiplying c by $(e_1 f_1)^{2m+1}$ we can obtain an element which ends with f_1 ; in its support, however, there can appear B_2B_2 -monomials (e.g., the basic element f_1). We therefore complete the proof using other considerations.

It only remains to prove that $d \neq 0$. This follows, however, from the fact that the sum of all the monomials of maximal length in the support of d is a nonzero element $d_1 \in T_{12}$ and T_{12} is a free algebra; hence

$$(e_1 f_1)^{n+1} d_1 (e_1 f_1)^{n+1} - \mu(e_1 f_1)^n d_1 (e_1 f_1)^n \neq 0,$$

and the theorem is proven.

2

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us remark first that without loss of generality we can suppose that R_k ($k = 1, 2$) is an algebra with unity. In fact, adjoining a unity if necessary and denoting the new algebra by \tilde{R}_k ($k = 1, 2$) we see that R is an ideal in the algebra $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_1 * \tilde{R}_2$. The truth of the assertion would follow, therefore, from the primitivity of \tilde{R} and the well-known fact that any ideal of a primitive ring is primitive (see [3, Chap. 11, Sect. 4]).

If A is a nonzero ideal of R then there exists by Theorem 1 an element $0 \neq a \in A$ such that

$$a = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \pi_k,$$

where π_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are $B_1 B_2$ -monomials. Let $l(a) = n$.

Consider the element

$$b = (e_1 f_1)^{n+1} a (e_2 f_1)^{n+1} = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \rho_k,$$

where $\rho_k = (e_1 f_1)^{n+1} \pi_k (e_2 f_1)^{n+1}$. It can be checked easily that the elements $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_m$ freely generate a free associative algebra.

Now let $b_1 = b$; $b_2 = [b_1, \rho_m] = \lambda_1 [\rho_1, \rho_m] + \lambda_2 [\rho_2, \rho_m] + \dots + \lambda_{m-1} [\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m]$; $b_3 = [b_2, [\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m]]$; At the $(m-1)$ th step we conclude that the ideal A contains some nonzero commutator q in elements $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_m$.

In every nontrivial ideal of R we take such a commutator and denote the set of these commutators by Q .

We now apply the method of [2] to construct a proper left ideal of R which is comaximal with any two sided ideal.

Consider two cases.

Case 1. Card B_1 is infinite. There exists a one-to-one correspondence $q \rightarrow e(q)$ between Q and some subset of B_1 .

Let U be the left ideal generated by all the elements

$$u(q) = 1 + f_1 q e(q) + q e(q) f_1, \quad q \in Q.$$

Since all the monomials of maximal length in the support of the element xu , $x \in R$, end in either $e(q)$ or in $e(q)f_1$, it follows easily that the equation

$$1 = x_1u_1 + x_2u_2 + \cdots + x_su_s, \quad x_r \in R, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

is impossible. This means that U is a proper ideal.

Since any two-sided ideal $A \subseteq R$ contains some commutator $q \in Q$ it follows that U is comaximal with A .

Case 2. Card B_1 is finite. In this case Q is countable: $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$; as above, it can be checked that the elements

$$v_n = 1 + q_n(e_1f_1)(e_2f_1)^n + f_1q_ne_1(f_1e_2)^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

generate a left ideal V which is comaximal with any two sided ideal of R .

The theorem is proved.

Remark. Theorem 2 remains true when the limitation on $\dim R_k$ ($k = 1, 2$) is replaced by the condition: card B_k ($k = 1, 2$) are not both equal to 1.

Conversely, when R_k ($k = 1, 2$) is the group algebra of Z_2 and hence, card $B_k = 1$ ($k = 1, 2$), it was remarked in [2, 4] that $R = R_1 * R_2$ is not primitive.

The following fact is undoubtedly known but I have been unable to find a reference.

LEMMA 3. *Let F be an arbitrary field, \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be Lie algebras over F and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2$ be their free sum. Let \mathcal{U}_k be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{L}_k ($k = 1, 2$). Then the universal enveloping algebra \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the free product of \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 .*

Proof. Let A be arbitrary associative algebra and ϕ_k be a homomorphism of \mathcal{U}_k in A ($k = 1, 2$). We need prove that there exists a unique homomorphism ϕ of \mathcal{U} in A such that $\phi(\mathcal{U}_k) = \phi_k(\mathcal{U}_k)$ ($k = 1, 2$).

The homomorphism ϕ_k defines uniquely a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_k$ of \mathcal{L}_k ($k = 1, 2$) into the Lie algebra A_L of A . The homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_k$ (together with the embeddings of \mathcal{L}_k into \mathcal{L}) define uniquely a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ of \mathcal{L} into A_L , such that $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{L}_k) = \tilde{\phi}_k(\mathcal{L}_k)$ ($k = 1, 2$). Finally, we can find a homomorphism ϕ of \mathcal{U} in A_L such that it coincides with $\tilde{\phi}$ on \mathcal{L} . Hence, $\phi(\mathcal{L}_k) = \tilde{\phi}_k(\mathcal{L}_k)$ and this implies $\phi(\mathcal{U}_k) = \phi_k(\mathcal{U}_k)$ ($k = 1, 2$).

The lemma is proved.

THEOREM 3. *Let F be an arbitrary field, and $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be Lie algebras over F such that $\dim \mathcal{L}_k > 1$ ($k = 1, 2$) and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2$ be their free sum. Then \mathcal{L} has a faithful irreducible representation.*

Proof. Lemma 3 implies that the universal associative enveloping algebra \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{L} is the free product of the universal enveloping \mathcal{U}_k of Lie algebras \mathcal{L}_k

($k = 1, 2$). We see also that $\dim \mathcal{U}_k > 2$ ($k = 1, 2$) and Theorem 2 implies that there exists a faithful irreducible representation of \mathcal{U} which means the existence of a faithful irreducible representation of \mathcal{L} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to E. Formanek, who read the paper and suggested a number of improvements which allowed a shortening of the proofs. In particular, the present version of the proof of Theorem 1, which is shorter than the first one, is due to him.

REFERENCES

1. P. M. COHN, On the free product of associative rings. II. The case of (skew) fields, *Math. Z.* **73** (1960), 433–456.
2. E. FORMANEK, Group rings of free products are primitive, *J. Algebra* **26** (1973), 508–511.
3. N. JACOBSON, “Structure of Rings,” Amer. Math. Soc. Publications, Vol. XXXVII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1956.
4. A. E. ROSENBERG, On the primitivity of the group algebra, *Canad. J. Math.* **23** (1971), 536–540.